

# 2A. $SU(n)$ , $SO(n)$ , and $Sp(2n)$ Lie groups

## \* version 1.5 \*

Matthew Foster

January 20, 2021

### Contents

<b>2A.1 Unitary <math>U(n)</math> and <math>SU(n)</math></b>	<b>1</b>
2A.1.1 $SU(n)$ tensors . . . . .	2
<b>2A.2 Orthogonal <math>O(n)</math> and <math>SO(n)</math></b>	<b>2</b>
2A.2.1 $SO(n)$ tensors . . . . .	2
<b>2A.3 Symplectic <math>Sp(2n)</math></b>	<b>3</b>
2A.3.1 $Sp(2n)$ tensors . . . . .	3

Here we introduce unitary, orthogonal, and symplectic Lie groups via their defining representations. This is a brief “first pass” to acquaint the reader, not a systematic description of these classical Lie groups or their representations. We will return to this subject after developing roots and weights.

### 2A.1 Unitary $U(n)$ and $SU(n)$

Consider an  $n$ -component complex vector  $|\psi\rangle \rightarrow [\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n]^T$ . Here  $\{\alpha_i\} \in \mathbb{C}$  are the complex components expressed in some basis, and  $T$  denotes the matrix transpose. A generic unitary  $U(n)$  transformation is encoded in the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\hat{U}$  via

$$|\psi\rangle \rightarrow \hat{U} |\psi\rangle,$$

where

$$\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = \hat{1}_n. \tag{2A.1.1}$$

$\hat{U}$  can be expressed in terms of Hermitian generators  $\{\hat{T}_a\}$  via

$$\hat{U} = \exp\left(-i\theta^a \hat{T}_a\right), \quad \hat{T}_a^\dagger = \hat{T}_a, \tag{2A.1.2}$$

where  $\{\theta^a\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are the group coordinates. A special unitary  $SU(n)$  transformation has determinant one,<sup>1</sup>

$$\det \hat{U} = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{T}_a \right] = 0. \tag{2A.1.3}$$

In other words,  $SU(n)$  has group dimension  $d = n^2 - 1$ , since it excludes the  $n \times n$  identity matrix as a generator. A  $U(n)$  transformation can always be written as the product of an abelian  $U(1)$  transformation (equivalent to an overall phase) and a non-abelian  $SU(n)$  transformation.

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we have used the formula

$$\det \hat{A} = \exp(\text{Tr} \log \hat{A}).$$

### 2A.1.1 SU( $n$ ) tensors

We will show later that completely antisymmetric and completely symmetric tensors form different classes of irreducible representations:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{[i_1 i_2 \dots i_k]} &: \text{completely antisymmetric rank-}k \text{ tensor } (k \leq n); \text{ irreducible rep. of } \text{SU}(n), \\ T^{(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k)} &: \text{completely symmetric rank-}k \text{ tensor; irreducible rep. of } \text{SU}(n). \end{aligned} \quad (2A.1.4)$$

Mixed symmetry tensors can be classified and decomposed via Young tableaux, as we will eventually see.

## 2A.2 Orthogonal O( $n$ ) and SO( $n$ )

Orthogonal O( $n$ ) transformations can be defined as a subgroup of the U( $n$ ) transformations in Eq. (2A.1.1). In addition to being unitary, we restrict

$$\hat{U}^\dagger = \hat{U}^\top, \quad (\text{real elements}). \quad (2A.2.1)$$

A special orthogonal transformation SO( $n$ ) has determinant one, and excludes reflections, inversion (for  $n = \text{odd}$ ), and products of these with rotations (“improper rotations”).

Eq. (2A.2.1) is obviously basis-dependent. We can define orthogonal transformations more generally via

$$\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = \hat{1}_n \quad (2A.2.2a)$$

$$\hat{U}^\top \hat{M} \hat{U} = \hat{M}, \quad (2A.2.2b)$$

$$-\hat{M} \hat{T}_a^\top \hat{M} = \hat{T}_a, \quad \text{SO}(n) \text{ generators}, \quad (2A.2.2c)$$

where the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\hat{M}$  satisfies

$$\hat{M} = \hat{M}^\top = \hat{M}^\dagger; \quad \hat{M}^2 = \hat{1}_n. \quad (2A.2.3)$$

Thus, in addition to being unitary, an O( $n$ ) transformation preserves the form of a symmetric “matrix metric”  $\hat{M}$ . The SO( $n$ ) generators  $\{\hat{T}_a\}$  satisfy the generalized antisymmetry requirement, Eq. (2A.2.2c). The group dimension  $d = n(n-1)/2$  for SO( $n$ ), since this is the number of independent matrices that can be chosen to satisfy this constraint.

In Eq. (2A.2.1),  $\hat{M} = \hat{1}_n$ . The “metric contraction” with two  $n$ -component vectors  $A_i$  and  $B_j$  is in this case the conventional dot product,

$$A^\top \hat{M} B = A^i M_{ij} B^j = A^i \delta_{ij} B^j.$$

In analogy with differential geometry, we can think of  $M_{ij}$  (here the Kronecker delta) as a covariant metric used to “lower the index” on  $B^j$ , so that we can form the inner product  $A^i B_i$ . If we make an orthogonal group basis transformation using  $\hat{U}$  that satisfies Eq. (2A.2.1), then obviously the form of  $\hat{M} = \hat{1}_n$  is left invariant. This is not the case if we allow a more general unitary basis transformation, i.e. that generated by a non-orthogonal  $\hat{U}$ :

$$\hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{U}^\top \hat{M} \hat{U} \equiv \hat{M}' \neq \hat{M}.$$

- Regardless, the symmetry constraint  $\hat{M}'^\top = \hat{M}'$  is basis independent.

### 2A.2.1 SO( $n$ ) tensors

Consider a tensor formed from a direct product of defining representation (vector) indices. A necessary but not sufficient condition for a rank- $k$  tensor  $T$  to transform irreducibly under SO( $n$ ) is that it is traceless under contraction of any pair of indices with  $M_{ij}$ :

$$M_{i_p i_q} T^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = 0 \quad \forall p, q \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} (p \neq q). \quad (2A.2.4)$$

Completely antisymmetric tensors  $T^{[i_1 i_2 \dots i_k]}$  ( $k \leq n$ ) satisfy this condition and indeed correspond to irreducible representations. *Traceless* completely symmetric tensors  $\tilde{T}^{(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k)}$  [ $M_{i_1 i_2} \tilde{T}^{(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k)} = 0$ ] form a separate class of irreducible representations.

## 2A.3 Symplectic $\text{Sp}(2n)$

The set of symplectic  $\text{Sp}(2n)$  transformations form a subgroup of the unitary Lie group  $U(2n)$ ; symplectic transformations and algebras are only defined for even-dimensional spaces. Similar to Eq. (2A.2.2),  $\text{Sp}(2n)$  transformations can be defined via

$$\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = \hat{1}_{2n} \quad (2A.3.1a)$$

$$\hat{U}^\top \hat{\epsilon} \hat{U} = \hat{\epsilon}, \quad (2A.3.1b)$$

$$\hat{\epsilon} \hat{T}_a^\top \hat{\epsilon} = \hat{T}_a, \quad \text{Sp}(2n) \text{ generators}, \quad (2A.3.1c)$$

where the  $2n \times 2n$  matrix  $\hat{\epsilon}$  satisfies

$$\hat{\epsilon} = -\hat{\epsilon}^\top = -\hat{\epsilon}^\dagger; \quad \hat{\epsilon}^2 = -\hat{1}_n. \quad (2A.3.2)$$

• **The symmetry constraint  $\hat{\epsilon}^\top = -\hat{\epsilon}$  is basis independent.** Nevertheless, we can always find a basis in which we can view  $\hat{\epsilon}$  as the block  $2n \times 2n$  two-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor [c.f. Eq. (1.5.4)],

$$\hat{\epsilon} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{1}_n \\ -\hat{1}_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2A.3.3)$$

An alternative notation is to define  $\hat{\sigma}_2 \equiv -i\hat{\epsilon}$ , where we view  $\hat{\sigma}_2$  as the block antisymmetric Pauli matrix

$$\hat{\sigma}_2 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i\hat{1}_n \\ i\hat{1}_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{U}^\top \hat{\sigma}_2 \hat{U} &= \hat{\sigma}_2, \\ -\hat{\sigma}_2 \hat{T}_a^\top \hat{\sigma}_2 &= \hat{T}_a. \end{aligned} \quad (2A.3.4)$$

The group dimension  $d = n(2n + 1)$  for  $\text{Sp}(2n)$ , since this is the number of independent matrices that can be chosen to satisfy the constraint. This follows because the symplectic condition is a generalized *symmetry* constraint on the generators,

$$\hat{\sigma}_2 \hat{T}_a = \left( \hat{\sigma}_2 \hat{T}_a \right)^\top. \quad (2A.3.5)$$

The “antisymmetric metric”  $\hat{\epsilon}$  can be used to “tie up” indices transforming in the defining representation of  $\text{Sp}(2n)$ . If  $\psi^i$  and  $\phi^j$  are two such representations ( $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ ), then

$$\psi^\top \hat{\epsilon} \phi = \psi^i \epsilon_{ij} \phi^j \quad (2A.3.6)$$

is invariant. The simplest example applies to spin-1/2 spinors in  $\text{su}(2) = \text{sp}(2)$ , where this contraction gives the usual singlet state [Eq. (1.5.1a)].  $\text{Sp}(2n)$  is different from  $\text{SU}(n)$  or  $\text{SU}(2n)$ , in that one can always form a group invariant by tensoring together two defining rep. indices. Since the defining representation of  $\text{Sp}(2n)$  is in some sense a generalization of spin-1/2, we sometimes refer to it as a “spinor” representation.

### 2A.3.1 $\text{Sp}(2n)$ tensors

Consider a tensor formed from a direct product of defining representation indices. A necessary but not sufficient condition for a rank- $k$  tensor  $T$  to transform irreducibly under  $\text{Sp}(2n)$  is that it is “traceless” under contraction of any pair of indices with  $\epsilon_{ij}$ :

$$\epsilon_{i_p i_q} T^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = 0 \quad \forall p, q \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \ (p \neq q). \quad (2A.3.7)$$

Completely symmetric tensors  $T^{(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k)}$  satisfy this condition and indeed correspond to irreducible representations. *Traceless* completely antisymmetric tensors  $\tilde{T}^{[i_1 i_2 \dots i_k]}$  ( $k \leq 2n$ ) [ $\epsilon_{i_1 i_2} \tilde{T}^{[i_1 i_2 \dots i_k]} = 0$ ] correspond to a different set of irreducible representations.